

# Comment on “Stable and unstable vector dark solitons of coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equation: Application to two-component Bose-Einstein condensates

Ju-Kui Xue\*

College of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, People's Republic of China

(Received 27 October 2005; published 8 February 2006)

In a recent paper, V. A. Brazhnyi and V. V. Konoto [Phys. Rev. E **72**, 026616 (2005)] investigated the dynamics of vector dark solitons in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates. In the small amplitude limit, they deduced a coupled Korteweg–de Vries equation from the coupled Gross-Pitaevskii equations. They found that two branches of (slow and fast) dark solitons corresponding to the two branches of the sound waves exist. The slow solitons, corresponding to the lower branch of the acoustic wave, appear to be unstable and transform during the evolution into the stable fast solitons (corresponding to the upper branch of the dispersion law). However, our discussion shows that these results are incorrect.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.73.028601

PACS number(s): 05.45.Yv, 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Kk

In Ref. [1], the dynamics of vector dark solitons in two-component Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) was investigated. In the small-amplitude limit, they deduced a coupled Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation from the coupled Gross-Pitaevskii equations. They found that two branches of (slow and fast) dark solitons corresponding to the two branches of the sound waves exist. The slow solitons, corresponding to the lower branch of the acoustic wave, appear to be unstable and transform during the evolution into the stable fast solitons (corresponding to the upper branch of the dispersion law). However, as discussed below, these results are incorrect.

First, in the small-amplitude limit, the KdV equation (18) given by V. A. Brazhnyi and V. V. Konotop [1] is not a coupled KdV equation. According to Eqs. (A1) of Ref. [1], we can obtain the relation

$$q_1 = \frac{2 \cos^2 \alpha}{v^2 - 2U_1} q_2 \quad (1)$$

and the soliton velocity

$$v = \sqrt{U_1 + U_2 \pm \sqrt{(U_2 - U_1)^2 + \sin^2(2\alpha)}}. \quad (2)$$

Equation (1) means that, in the small-amplitude limit, the two components can be decoupled. Thus, the KdV equation (18) given by Ref. [1] should be a decoupled KdV equation

$$\partial_s q_1 + \alpha q_1 \partial_s q_1 + \beta \partial_s^3 q_1 = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha = 2(\gamma_1^{11} + 2\gamma_1^{12} + \gamma_1^{22})$ ,  $\beta = \beta_1^1 + \beta_1^2$ , and  $\gamma_1^{11}, \gamma_1^{12}, \gamma_1^{22}, \beta_1^1, \beta_1^2$  are given in Ref. [1]. It is clear that Eq. (3) is a standard KdV equation and has an exact solution of form [2]

$$q_1 = \frac{12\beta}{\alpha} \kappa^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \kappa(\zeta - 4\kappa^2 \tau), \quad (4)$$

where  $\kappa$  is a constant. The second component  $q_2$  can be given by Eq. (1).

Second, the initial conditions given by Eqs. (19)–(23) of Ref. [1] are not correct for the obtained KdV equation; especially for the lower-branch soliton. This can be simply explained as the following. As discussed in Ref. [1], if we set  $2 \cos^2 \alpha = 1 - U_1 + U_2$ , then we have  $v = \sqrt{U_1 + U_2} \pm 1$ . Thus, for the upper branch ( $v = \sqrt{U_1 + U_2} + 1$ ), Eq. (1) gives  $q_1 = q_2$ . However, for the lower branch ( $v = \sqrt{U_1 + U_2} - 1$ ), Eq. (1) gives

$$q_1 = -\frac{1 - U_1 + U_2}{1 + U_1 - U_2} q_2. \quad (5)$$

Hence, the initial condition given by Eq. (19) of Ref. [1] is an approximate solution of the upper-branch soliton, but not correct for the lower-branch soliton. This results in the instability character of the lower-branch soliton as discussed in Ref. [1] (including their numerical results and the energy analysis in subsection F). That is, the origin of the instability character of the lower-branch soliton observed in Ref. [1] assumes an incorrect initial condition, and is accompanied by quasilinear modes.

Finally, the interesting phenomenon expressed by Eq. (1) is that, for the lower-branch soliton, we can obtain the dark-bright solitons in repulsively interacting two-component BECs [3], i.e.,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  have opposite sign. Because for the lower-branch soliton, Eq. (2) deduces to  $v^2 = U_1 + U_2 - \sqrt{(U_2 - U_1)^2 + \sin^2(2\alpha)}$ , hence

$$v^2 - 2U_1 = U_2 - U_1 - \sqrt{(U_2 - U_1)^2 + \sin^2(2\alpha)} < 0 \quad (6)$$

for coupled two-component BEC [i.e.,  $\sin(2\alpha) \neq 0$ ]. Thus, we have

\*Electronic mail: Xuejk@nwnu.edu.cn

$$q_1 = - \frac{2 \cos^2 \alpha}{|\sqrt{(U_2 - U_1)^2 + \sin^2(2\alpha)} - (U_2 - U_1)|} q_2. \quad (7)$$

Equation (7) indicates that the dark-bright solitons in two-component BECs can exist for the lower-branch soliton. This

important phenomenon is not given in Ref. [1].

This project was supported by the National Natural Foundation of China under Grants No. 10347006 and 10475066, and by the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu province under Grant No. 3ZS051-A25-013.

- 
- [1] V. A. Brazhnyi and V. V. Konotop, Phys. Rev. E **72**, 026616 (2005).  
[2] V. I. Karpman and E. M. Maslov, Phys. Fluids **25**, 1686

- (1982).  
[3] Th. Busch and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 010401 (2001).